

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

AUG 1 5 2012

Ms. Julie Ott

Harvey, LA 70058

RE:

MUR 6623

Julie Ott

Dear Ms. Ott:

On January 6, 2012, the Federal Election Commission ("Commission") notified you that the Commission, in the normal course of carrying out its supervisory responsibilities, had ascertained information indicating that you may have violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. On July 31, 2012, and after considering all the information, the Commission dismissed this matter as it pertains to you. The Factual and Legal Analysis is enclosed for your information.

The Act provides that "no person shall make a contribution in the name of another person or knowingly permit his name to be used to effect such a contribution." 2 U.S.C. § 441f. The Commission cautions you to take steps to ensure that your conduct is in compliance with the Act and the Commission's regulations.

You are advised that the confidentiality provisions of 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(12)(A) remain in effect, and that this matter is still open with respect to other respondents. The Commission will notify you when the entire file has been closed.

If you have any questions, please contact Delbert K. Rigsby, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely, Sum L. Libery

Susan L. Lebeaux

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure

Factual and Legal Analysis

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

RESPONDENT: Julie Ott

MUR 6623

I. GENERATION OF THE MATTER

This matter was generated based on information ascertained by the Federal Election Commission ("Commission") in the normal course of carrying out its supervisory responsibilities. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(2).

II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

A. Factual Summary

Information available to the Commission indicates that Scalise for Congress
("Committee"), the principal campaign committee of Representative Stephen Scalise, who was
the successful 2008 candidate for the First Congressional District of Louisiana, had received
contributions in 2007 from William A. Bennett that reportedly had been made in the names of
Melanie Detloff, Julie Ott and James Wyckoff for \$2,300 each. The contributions in issue
relate to the March 8, 2008, Louisiana Republican special primary election. On its 2007 YearEnd Report, the Committee identified Bennett, who also contributed \$2,300 on the same date
as the others, as a Benetech executive and contributor Wyckoff as the owner of United
Properties Development in New Jersey. There are no employers listed for contributors Ott and
Detloff. According to public sources, Benetech is a limited liability company headquartered in
New Orleans for which Bennett reportedly serves as President and Julie Ott as Chief
Administrative Officer. See BENETECH, http://www.gobenetech.com/about/ (last visited
June 19, 2012). Publicly available state marriage and Commission records reflect that Melanie
Detloff was formerly Bennett's spouse. See ANCESTRY.COM,

MUR 6623 Julie Ott Factual and Legal Analysis Rage 2

http://search.ancestry.com/search/db.aspx?dbid=1100 (Nevada Marriage Index, 1956-2005) (reporting marriage between Melanie R. Detloff and William A. Bennett on July 24, 2004); Romney for President, Inc., April 15 Quarterly Report at 344 (Apr. 13, 2007) (reattributing \$2,100 of contribution from William Bennett to his spouse, Melanie Bennett).

On June 21, 2011, after consulting with the Reports Analysis Division, the Committee filed a Form 99, which informed the Commission that:

On December 19, 2007, the Scalise for Congress campaign received four checks as part of a fundraising event. These checks were in the amounts of \$2,300 each from William Bennett, Julie Ott, James Wyckoff and Melanie Detloff. On June 15, 2011, the campaign was notified by a donor, William Bennett, that he reimbursed the other three individuals named above for their respective contributions to the Scalise for Congress campaign. Before this notification on June 15, 2011, the campaign never had any information that would have led to question the validity of the checks. The checks in question were reported on the FEC report filed for the fourth quarter 2007.

The Committee further explained that it would disgorge all four of the contributions, totaling \$9,200, to the U.S. Treasury. The Committee did so on June 21, 2011. See Scalise for Congress, July 15 Quarterly Report at 96 (July 14, 2011).

In response to the Commission's notification of this matter. Off states that Bennett, her "boss at the time," asked her and the other individuals who made these contributions, "to write a check in the amount of \$2,300 made payable" to the Committee, and that Bennett had stated "that he was at the maximum individual contribution [limit] and needed us to contribute." Off Resp. Off reported Bennett stated that "he would reimburse each of us for the contribution." Id. Off further asserts that she "did not have knowledge of how campaign contributions worked and was unaware of what was allowed and not allowed." Id.

MUR 6623 Julie Ott Factual and Legal Analysis Page 3

B. Legal Analysis

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act") provides that "no person shall make a contribution in the name of another person or knowingly permit his name to be used to effect such a contribution." 2 U.S.C. § 441f. Ott asserts that Bennett asked her and the other conduits to contribute and promised to reimburse them. Ott suggests that Bennett's status as "her boss" may have influenced her decision to participate in the scheme. We have no information that Ott actively participated in the reinhursement scheme, and it appears she simply acted as a conduit. Based on these circumstances, the Commission dismisses this matter as to Julie Ott.